"يجوز and "ليجوز respectively and therefore the two verbs can be used interchangeably (Hatim, 1997:45).

Sabra (1995:32) lists some examples on the matter above:

1. The minister of petroleum <u>may</u> enter into concession agreements with foreign companies for petroleum exploration.

2. The United Nations <u>may</u> hold fund, gold, or currency of any kind.

3. The Contractor <u>may not</u> assign the contract to a third party without the prior written consent of the Employer.

The researcher has attempted to feed the above examples into *Google Translate* and found out that the system was able to translate the sense of *may* as "نجوز" in the first sentence while erroneously translated *may* in the second and third example as "قُد".

Test Example Analysis

The articles are analyzed to locate instances where the modal "shall" or "may" are mentioned. Google Translate's output is examined to determine whether the system is able to handle the modality in the legal context.

"Shall", as a binding modal, is mentioned ten times in the fourteen articles. None of which is *Google Translate* able to render into a simple Arabic present tense of the verb to which the modal is attached. *Google Translate* opts for utilizing a different verb in different contexts such as: